

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4307-12/2024

Kabool Khan

Vs

Higher Education Commission (HEC)

April 30, 2025

Shoaib Irshad, Deputy Director, HEC appeared on behalf of the public body. The public body, vide letter dated 12-03-2025, furnished the required information which was to be shared with the appellant. However, the copy of the order sent to the given address of the appellant has been received undelivered. The Assistant Director was asked to contact the appellant on his cell number, and he has reported that the cell number of the appellant is switched off. In view of the above, no further proceedings are required as the contact number and postal address have not been found reachable. Hence, the appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 3790-07/2024

Kamran Saqi

Vs

Press Information Department (PID)

April 30, 2025

Muhammad Tariq, Director, PID appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 27-03-2025 under RGL No. 146097673. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.
2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4252-11/2024

Abid Khan

Vs

Islamabad Healthcare Regulatory Authority

April 30, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body.

1. The public body, vide letter dated Nil, furnished the written reply to both the queries of the appellant mentioned in the information request, and said reply was shared with the appellant, who has acknowledged its receipt but raised objections vide email dated 04-04-2025, stating that vague information has been provided and the educational qualifications of the inspection team working under the Ministry of Health were deliberately concealed. The appellant wants to know the educational credentials of individuals employed in government departments so that it can be ascertained whether the appointment of the inspection team was made on merit or through corrupt practices. It is further added that the appellant also seeks information on whether WADA clinic filed any appeal against the notices and the orders passed in all three instances.
2. The Commission has examined the contents of the information request, the written reply furnished by the public body, and the rejoinder filed by the appellant. The appellant, in his information request, required details of each inspection, including inspection date and findings. He also required qualification records of the inspection team members involved in these inspections. As far as query No. 1 is concerned, its details have been furnished, and the appellant has not filed any objection thereto. However, the public body, in response to the information sought in query No. 2, submitted that disclosing the details and qualifications of the inspection team members would challenge

the independence of the inspection system, as the qualifications can only be described by giving the name and other details of the team members. This contention is supported by the provisions of Section 16(1)(iv) and (v) of the Right of Access to Information Act, 2017.

3. As far as query No. 1 is concerned, it stands addressed, and regarding query No. 2, the Commission agrees with the contention of the public body that if it is disclosed, it would undermine the effectiveness and independence of the inspection system of the public body. As for the contention of the appellant in his rejoinder regarding whether WADA clinic filed any appeal against the notices or orders passed in all three instances, it is beyond the scope of the information request and hence cannot be provided. Therefore, the appeal stands disposed of after having turned down the objections of the appellant. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 4415-01/2025

Shahid Khan

Vs

Ministry of Housing and Works

April 30, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body. However, information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 27-03-2025 under RGL No. 146097729. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner

Pakistan Information Commission

Government of Pakistan

Order

Appeal No 3967-09/2024

Boota Imtiaz

Vs

President Office

April 30, 2025

None appeared on behalf of the public body. Information furnished by the public body was shared with the appellant vide letter dated 27-03-2025 under RGL No. 1460976971. No objection has been received from the appellant. It appears that the appellant is satisfied with the response of the public body. The Commission has also examined the information request and the response of the public body and found that it meets the requirements of the information request. No further proceedings are required. The appeal stands disposed of. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

2. Copy of the order be sent to both the parties.

Ijaz Hassan Awan
Information Commissioner

Shoaib Ahmad Siddiqui
Chief Information Commissioner